Council



Forest Heath District Council

| Title of Report: | UPDATE: Single Council for | | | | | |
|--|---|---------|---|------------------|--|--|
| | West Suffolk: Legislative | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Demant No. | Process | | | | | |
| Report No: | COU/FH/18/005 (Addendum) | | | | | |
| Report to and date: | St Edm Boroug | | - | 20 February 2018 | | |
| | Forest District | | il | 21 February 2018 | | |
| Portfolio holder: | Councillor Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01799 530325 Email : <u>stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk</u> | | | | | |
| Lead officer: | Leah Mickleborough Service Manager, Democratic Services and Monitoring Officer Tel: 01284 757162 Email: leah.mickleborough@westsuffolk.gov.uk | | | | | |
| Purpose of report: | This report seeks to inform Members on the proposed "stop-gap" warding pattern as outlined in Report No: COU/FH/18/005. | | | | | |
| Recommendation: | This report is supplemental to the recommendations in Report No: COU/FH/18/005. | | | | | |
| Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.) | Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠ | | | | | |
| Consultation: | | | pecific to this report | | | |
| this | | this re | rs understand that the proposals within eport will be the sole option put forward MHCLG | | | |
| Implications: | | | | | | |
| Are there any financial implications? | | | | No 🖂 | | |
| If yes, please give details | | | | | | |
| Are there any staffing implications? | | | Yes 🗆 | No 🖂 | | |
| If yes, please give deta | ails | | | | | |

| Are there any ICT | - | Yes 🗆 No 🖂 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| yes, please give de | tails | | | |
| Are there any lega | l and/or policy | Yes 🛛 No 🗆 | | |
| implications? If yes | , please give | The proposals in this report will inform | | |
| details | ,, , | the legislative process to create the | | |
| | | new Council for West Suffolk | | |
| Are there any equa | lity implications? | Yes □ No ⊠ | | |
| If yes, please give | . . | | | |
| Risk/opportunity | | (potential hazards or opportunities affecting | | |
| Risk/ opportunity | assessment. | corporate, service or project objectives) | | |
| Risk area | Inherent level of | Controls | Residual risk (after | |
| | risk (before | | controls) | |
| | controls) | | | |
| As per | Low | Officers continue to | Low | |
| COU/SE/18/003, | | work alongside | | |
| should a major or unexpected event | | colleagues in MHCLG / LGBCE to ensure | | |
| occur which reduces | | progression of the | | |
| parliamentary time to | | legislation | | |
| undertake legislative | | 5 | | |
| processes | | | | |
| Ward(s) affected | | All wards | | |
| Background pape | rs: | Council Report: 21 February 2018 | | |
| (all background pap | pers are to be | Report No: COU/FH/18/005 | | |
| published on the we | ebsite and a link | | | |
| included) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Documents attached: | | Appendix 1: MHCLG note on | | |
| | | proposed warding pattern to be | | |
| | | included in West Suffolk structural | | |
| | | change report | | |
| 1 | | | ľ | |

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

- 1.1. In Report No: COU/FH/18/005, it was highlighted that a further update report would be sent outlining how discussions had progressed with the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) regarding the pattern of warding to be included in the draft order to create the new Council.
- 1.2. Pending new electoral arrangements from the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE), the warding pattern for the order must be based on an existing, agreed principal council structure. The only existing structures are county divisions or the existing St Edmundsbury and Forest Heath wards. The existing wards cannot be adopted in full for the new Council as they are based on 72 councillors, and not the 64 that we have proposed for the new Council.
- 1.3. As a result, MHCLG have formed a proposal that is based on the 14 existing county divisions but is broken down, within each division, using the existing St Edmundsbury and Forest Heath wards as building blocks. This provides four West Suffolk councillors for each division in combinations of 1, 2 and 3 Member Wards. This is the only option put forward by MHCLG and an explanation of their approach is attached as Appendix 1 to this report.
- 1.4. As stated in the previous report, this does not represent the Council's view on what the wards should be. Further, this is also simply a stop-gap position for the order until the LGBCE undertakes their review of the ward boundaries, which, barring a significant unexpected event, would be complete in time for the 2019 elections.